

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO. 6824
10/665,930	09/19/2003	Randy Burnworth	TAYL08-00002	
23990 DOCKET CLE	23990 7590 01/15/2010 EXA		INER	
P.O. DRAWE	R 800889		LEE, MICHAEL	
DALLAS, TX 75380			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2622	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/15/2010	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patents@munckcarter.com munckcarter@gmail.com

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/665,930	BURNWORTH, RANDY	
Examiner	Art Unit	
M. Lee	2622	

	W. Lee	2022					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 21 December 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.					
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.						
<ul> <li>The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to</li> </ul>	iter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	n.				
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(I	).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	iled within two months	s of the date of				
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	appeal. Since				
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>							
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be</li> <li>They raise new issues that would require further cor</li> </ol>			cause				
(a) ☐ They raise flew issues that would require further cor (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below		E below);					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett		lucina or simplifyina tl	ne issues for				
appeal; and/or	,,						
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).							
<ol> <li>The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12</li> </ol>		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).				
<ol><li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li></ol>							
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		•					
<ol> <li>For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [ how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:</li> </ol>		l be entered and an e	xplanation of				
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected:							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but	hefore or on the date of filing a No	tion of Annual will not	he entered				
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. Se	and/or appellant fail e 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a ).				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.				
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application in	oondition for allawan	b				
See Continuation Sheet.		CONGRESS TO ANOWARD	ce because.				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).							
13. Other:							
	/M. Lee/						
	Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 2622					
	, .,,						

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that the style information and font identifier in text data 206 of Wemer cannot correspond to the pointing information because it does not point to either the style information or the font identifier; The Examiner disagrees. Each of the style information and font identifier is data word that points to a particular memory or map location of a desired style or fort. The style information itself cannot reproduce the desired style without referring to a map. For instance, in paragraph 0039, Wemer states that the style data in text data 206 may include font identifier and or definition information, color, and for size in which text may be displayed. ..the style data may select larger font sizes, capital letters, and italics for portions of text data 206. In paragraph 0039, Wemer states that the processor builds an image frame and a bitmap for subtitle text data 206. the processor may also apply style data anafor control data to subtitle text data 206 as it is presented with subsequent associated image frames. In paragraph 0031, Wemer states that these bitmaps may then be used to displaytite text data in one or more caption packets 100-110 with one or more image frames. The bitmap built by the processor clearly meets the style information as claimed since it must include an identifier to be identified by the style data in text 206.

Applicant further argues that Werner's pixel bitmap or "style information" does not comprise "Cocation information on a region of the screen on which the subtitle is output by the reproducing apparatus" as recited in claim 1. The Examiner diagrees. In paragraph 0040, Werner states that the processor may build an image frame and a bitmap for subtitle text data 206 oncessor may for example, overlay the subtitle text data 206 not pot of the frame buffer. The bitmap build or generated by the processor has all the coordinates or location information required to overlay on top of the image frame. That is, the frame size of the bitmap is the same as the image frame, and every pixel in between the two are correspondingly aligned from 0 to N both horizontally and vertically. Since the bitmap is built on the Cartesian coordinate system, the location or locations of the text data 206 can be defined by X and Y values. Thus, the bitmap in Werner inherently include location information and the claimed limitation is clearly met.

Applicant argues that it is not seen where paragraph or any other paragraph of Werner discloses or suggests that two or more subtitles are placed on the screen at the same time as claimed. The Examiner disagrees.

In paragraph 0037, Werner states that text data 206 may include a plurality of portions representing lines for a plurality of characters...Each of these portions may be associated with the same...image frame(s). In other words, Werner states that multiple subtitle lines are presented on the same innace frame, which clearly meets the limitation as claimed.

Above arguments are supplemented in view of Mr. Randy Serville's telephone conversation dated 1/5/10.